



Type Inference: Algorithm W and Algorithm U

by Yinyanghu on March 13, 2014

Tagged as: [Algorithm](#), [Lambda Calculus](#), [Type Inference](#), [Logic](#), [Polymorphism](#), [Type System](#), [Hindley-Milner](#), [Programming Language](#).

Type Substitution

A type substitution σ maps type variables to types or type variables.

- $\sigma(X) = T$, if $(X \rightarrow T) \in \sigma$
- otherwise, $\sigma(X) = X$

The composition of two substitutions is

$$\sigma \circ \gamma = \{X \rightarrow \sigma(T) \mid (X \rightarrow T) \in \gamma\} \cup \{X \rightarrow T \mid (X \rightarrow T) \in \sigma, X \notin \text{domain}(\gamma)\}$$

When apply the substitution σ to term T , σT is $[X_i \rightarrow \sigma(X_i)]T$, where X_i denotes the variables in T .

Similarly, when apply the substitution σ to context Γ , $\sigma\Gamma$ is $\{\sigma T_i\}$, where $T_i \in \Gamma$.

Algorithm W

This is the original type inference algorithm of Damas and Miller.

- The input to the algorithm is an expression and a typing environment (context) which is a set of assumptions, i.e. bindings of type variables to type expressions.
- The output of the algorithm is a type for the given expression, and a substitution of type expressions for types which results in the overall type.

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma, T), \text{ where}$$

- Γ is the context
- expr is the expression to be typed
- σ is the substitution of type expressions for type variables which gives the value of T
- T is the type of expr

Several cases:

- if expr is a **variable**, say x , then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\emptyset, \text{instantiate}(x)), \text{ where}$$

- $\text{instantiate}(x) = [a_1 \rightarrow b_1][a_2 \rightarrow b_2] \dots [a_n \rightarrow b_n]T_x$,
- $x : (\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)T_x \in \Gamma$,
- and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n are fresh variables

- if expr is an **abstraction** (λ expression), say $\lambda x.f$, then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_1 X \rightarrow T_f), \text{ where}$$

- $W(\Gamma \cup \{x : X\}, f) = (\sigma_1, T_f)$,
- and X is a fresh variable

- if expr is an **application**, say $\text{expr} = fg$, then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma_3 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1, \sigma_3 X), \text{ where}$$

- $W(\Gamma, f) = (\sigma_1, T_f)$,
- $W(\sigma_1 \Gamma, g) = (\sigma_2, T_g)$,
- $U(\sigma_2 T_f, T_g \rightarrow X) = \sigma_3$,
- and X is a fresh variable

- if expr is a **conditional**, say if cond then f else g , then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma_5 \circ \sigma_4 \circ \sigma_3 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1, \sigma_5 T_g), \text{ where}$$

- $W(\Gamma, \text{cond}) = (\sigma_1, T_{\text{cond}})$,
- $U(T_{\text{cond}}, \text{Bool}) = \sigma_2$,
- $W(\sigma_2 \sigma_1 \Gamma, f) = (\sigma_3, T_f)$,
- $W(\sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \Gamma, g) = (\sigma_4, T_g)$,
- and $U(\sigma_4 T_f, T_g) = \sigma_5$

- if expr is a **fix-point expression**, say $\text{fix } x.f$, then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ X), \text{ where}$$

- $W(\Gamma \cup \{x : X\}, f) = (\sigma_1, T_f)$,
- $U(\sigma_1 X, T_f) = \sigma_2$,
- and X is a fresh variable

- if expr is a **let expression**, say $\text{let } x = f \text{ in } g$, then

$$W(\Gamma, \text{expr}) = (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1, T_g), \text{ where}$$

- $W(\Gamma, f) = (\sigma_1, T_f)$,
- $W(\sigma_1 \Gamma \cup \{x : \text{poly}(T_f)\}, g) = (\sigma_2, T_g)$, where $\text{poly}(T_f) = (\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)T_f$, and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the free variables in T_f which do not appear in $\sigma_1 \Gamma$.

Algorithm U

- Algorithm U solves unification which is what we need to complete our description of Algorithm W.
- The input to the algorithm is two type expressions.
- The output of the algorithm is a substitution or an error if we cannot find an unification.

$$U(T_1, T_2) = \sigma, \text{ where}$$

- T_1, T_2 are the type expressions to be unified
- σ is the substitution if we find an unification of T_1 and T_2

Also several cases:

- if both T_1 and T_2 are base type, then

- $U(T_1, T_2) = \emptyset$, if $T_1 = T_2$
- otherwise, we find an error

- if both T_1 and T_2 are type variables, then

- $U(T_1, T_2) = \emptyset$, if $T_1 = T_2$
- if T_1 **occurs** in T_2 , or T_2 **occurs** in T_1 , then we find an error: **circularity** (e.g. $\lambda x.xx$)
- otherwise, $U(T_1, T_2) = \{T_1 \rightarrow T_2\}$

- if T_1 and T_2 have the same type constructor C , i.e. $T_1 = C(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ and $T_2 = C(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k)$, then

$$U(T_1, T_2) = \sigma_k \circ \sigma_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_1, \text{ where } \sigma_i = U(A_i, B_i)$$

- otherwise, we find an error

- Damas and Milner proved that Algorithm W computes the **principal type** scheme for a given expression and context.
- According to Cardelli(1985), the order of type inference does NOT affect the final result and it solves the system of type constraints.
- This version taken from Field and Harrison, also treats expressions involving the fix-point operator **fix**.

Reference

- [Algorithm W](#)
- [Wikipedia: Hindley-Milner type system](#)
- University of Waterloo, [CS442 Lecture Note](#)
- [Lecture 22: Type Inference and Unification](#)
- [Lecture 26: Type Inference and Unification](#)

Yinyanghu, 2014

0 Comments Yinyanghu's Blog Disqus' Privacy Policy Login

Favorite Tweet Share Sort by Best

Start the discussion...

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS Name

Be the first to comment.

